

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/082,311                   | 02/26/2002     | Takeshi Saikawa      | XA-9635                 | 2809            |
| 75                           | 590 06/19/2003 |                      |                         |                 |
| Miles & Stock                | tbridge P.C.   | EXAMINER             |                         |                 |
| Suite 500<br>1751 Pinnacle I |                | GURLEY, LYNNE ANN    |                         |                 |
| McLean, VA 22102             |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                              |                |                      | 2812                    |                 |
|                              |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/082,311

Applicant(s)

Saikawa et al.

Examiner

Lynne Gurley

Art Unit 2812



|            | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |
|------------|--|--|
|            | or Reply   | TO EVRIDE 2 MONTHIES FROM  |
|            | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  | TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM  |
| - Extens   | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In   | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the   |
| - If the p | date of this communication.<br>eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th  |  |
|            | eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th | ind will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ie application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
|            | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t<br>patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                                   | his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  |
| Status     |  |  |
| 1) 💢       | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 1, 20  | 03   |
| 2a) 🗌      | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act   | ion is non-final.  |
| 3) 🗆       | Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pair$  | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.                                 |
| Disposit   | tion of Claims   |  |
| 4) 💢       | Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>   | is/are pending in the application.   |
| 4          | a) Of the above, claim(s) 22-46  | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| 5) 🗆       | Claim(s)   | is/are allowed.  |
| 6) 🗶       | Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>   | is/are rejected.   |
| 7) 🗆       | Claim(s)   | is/are objected to.  |
| 8) 🗌       | Claims   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |
| Applica    | tion Papers  |  |
| 9) 🗌       | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |
| 10)        | The drawing(s) filed on is/are   | a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |
|            | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d  | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |
| 11)        | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner   |
|            | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t  | to this Office action.   |
| 12)        | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami  | ner.   |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |
| 13)💢       | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr  | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |
| a) 🗴       | 〗All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:  | ,  |
|            | 1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents hav  | e been received.   |
| 3          | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav  | e been received in Application No  |
| ;          | <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do<br/>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>   | ocuments have been received in this National Stage   |
| *Se        | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the   |  |
|            | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  |  |
| a) 🗀       | The translation of the foreign language provisiona   | l application has been received.   |
| 15)        | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |
| Attachm    |  |  |
|            | tice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |
|            | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
| 3) X Info  | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5   | 6) Other:  |

Application/Control Number: 10/082,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-21 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/082,311

Art Unit: 2812

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishii (US 5,227,339, dated 7/13, 1993) in view of Hidemitsu (JP2000150640, dated 11/6/98).

Kishii shows the methods substantially as claimed with an insulating layer 22 formed completely around the wafer including beveled edges (figure 10). A wiring layer shown I figure

Art Unit: 2812

11 will be formed subsequently as a device. The first insulating layer appears to have been planarized. A MISFET is formed.

Kishii lacks anticipation only in not teaching that there is a first and second insulating layer formed on two main surfaces of the substrate; thicknesses, cleaning and specific material of layers.

Hidemitsu teaches the separate formation of insulating layers on the front and back sides of the wafer. Although no beveled portion is formed, a device comparable to that formed in Kishii is shown.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have formed the insulating layer on the two main surfaces of the substrate I the method of Kishii, as taught in the method of Hidemitsu with the motivation that each layer serves its own function in aiding to getter impurities from the substrate and preventing current leaks due to metal contamination.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used CMP to planarize the insulating layer, to have used TEOS, silicon nitride or PECVD silicon oxide, and to have optimized thicknesses of the layers, as well as perform cleaning during the process with the motivation that depending on the device taking advantage of the SOI substrate, these layers would be conventionally used as insulating layers with their thicknesses. Cleaning would further serve to getter the impurities from the device area.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is (703) 305-3474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2812

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F. Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

LYNNE GURLEY
PATENT EXAMINER

and Cast 28/2

lag

June 16, 2003